

## 2007 BLHC papers accepted

**Stephen Banks** (Reading): 'A Most Peculiar View of Provocation: Gentlemen and the Judge, Directions in English Duelling Trials, 1785-1842'

**Joseph Biancalana** (Cincinnati, Ohio, USA): 'Assumpsit, Consideration and Early Chancery'

**Richard Boast** (Wellington, NZ): 'The Native Land Court and Title Individualisation in 19<sup>th</sup> century New Zealand: Judges and Customary Tenures on an Imperial Frontier'

**Alan Boyer** (New York, USA): 'Sir Edward Coke: Crown servant, royal favorite?'

**Erik-Jan Broers** (Tilburg, Netherlands): 'Dutch Criminal Law in the 17<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> centuries'

**Chris Brooks** (Durham): 'Judging Restoration Judges, 1660-1689'

**Nathan Brun** (Jerusalem, Israel): 'It was not easy for the British Empire to fire a judge from Haifa (Palestine, 1925-30)'

**Martin Burr** (London): 'The Anglo-Saxon Judiciary'

**Lorie Charlesworth** (Liverpool): 'The *Natzweiler Trial*: an historical reconstruction of a 'minor' British war crimes trial involving Special Forces (2 SAS) and Intelligence Officials';

**Kevin Costello** (Dublin, Ireland): 'Certiorari and summary convictions, 1640-1840'

**Kelly De Luca** (New York, USA): 'Lord Chancellor Ellesmere and the Law of Nations'

**Chris Dent** (Melbourne, Australia): 'Judging the early patents - a unanimity of voices'

**Seán Donlan** (Limerick): "'Legislative authority and juridical prudence": Edmund Burke's science of legislation'

**Shaunnagh Dorsett** (Wellington, NZ): "'New Quilts from Old Rags": The Status of Indigenous Peoples in Colonial New South Wales'

**Déirdre M. Dwyer** (Oxford): 'Developments in the Principles of Civil Evidence in Nineteenth Century England'

**Jeremy Finn** (Christchurch, NZ): 'Innovation and Continuity: Statute Law of the Irish Free State 1922-48'

**Angelo Forte** (Aberdeen): "'Thou Old Rotten Devis[s]": Judging Judges in Late Seventeenth-century Scotland'

**Adolfo Giuliani** (Cambridge): 'Legal presumptions in the late *ius commune*: a reappraisal'

**Gerwyn Griffiths** (Glamorgan): 'Cutting the Heads off Thistles: Walter Savage Landor and Baker Gabb, 1808-1814'

**Sally Hadden** (Florida, USA): 'A Comparison of Legal Practice in London and New England, 1740'

**Paul Halliday** (Virginia, USA): '11,000 Prisoners: Habeas Corpus, 1500-1800'

**Phil Handler** (Keele): 'Judges and the Criminal Law in England, 1808-1861'

**Steve Hedley** (Cork, Ireland): "'Out of touch with what, precisely?": The politics of the judiciary over the long twentieth century'

**Dirk Heirbaut** (Ghent, Belgium): 'The precursors of the earliest law reports on the Continent as sources about the spokesmen, the forgotten legal experts of customary law'

**Adam Hofri-Winogradow** (Oxford): ‘Moloch and Belial of the Bar’: Chancellors Thurlow and Loughborough and the late eighteenth century Chancery judiciary’

**Henry Horwitz** (Iowa, USA): ‘The treatment of English appeals in equity by the House of Lords in the generation after 1689’

**Niamh Howlin** (Dublin, Ireland): ‘The treatment of English appeals in equity by the House of Lords in the generation after 1689’

**Jula Hughes** (New Brunswick, Canada): ‘Codification as Judicial Empowerment’

**James Jaffe** (Wisconsin-Whitewater, USA): ‘The Limits of Justice and Fairness: Expanding the Scope of Arbitration in Britain and India during the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries’

**Susanne Jenks** (Fürth, Germany): ‘Judges as Litigants’

**Yasunori Kasai** (Tokyo, Japan): ‘A Comparative Aspect of the Methodology of the Ancient Roman Jurists: Fritz Schulz on the History of Roman Legal Science revisited’

**Michael Landon** (Mississippi, USA): ‘The Short-term Impact of the ‘Glorious Revolution’ on the English Judicial System’

**Tessa Leesen** (Tilburg, Netherlands): ‘Produced and Bottled in Rome: Who owned the wine?’

**Randall Lesaffer** (Tilburg, Netherlands): ‘Private property in early modern peace treaties’

**David Lieberman** (Berkeley, California, USA): ‘From Judicial Establishment to Democratic Statecraft: Sources of Jeremy Bentham’s Political Radicalism’

**Arnaud Lucien** (Essex): ‘The judiciary, a *distic*? A semiotic approach’

**Jane McKinnon** (British Columbia, Canada): ‘The Judge as Traveller’

**John McLaren** (British Columbia, Canada): ‘Conflicts of Ideology and Crises of Conscience: the disciplining of colonial judges in the 19<sup>th</sup> century British Empire’

**Catharine MacMillan** (London): ‘Judging the Coronation cases’

**David Marrani** (Essex): ‘Trial: dialectic and symbolic position of the judge’

**Emi Matsumoto** (Niigata Japan): ‘Publication’ of law in French old regime: a comparative aspect’

**Jean Meiring** (Cambridge): ‘Chief Justice Holt and the legislators of Lombard Street: a reappraisal’

**Ernest Metzger** (Glasgow): ‘The remedy of prohibition against Roman judges in civil trials’

**Patricia Minter** (Kentucky, USA): ‘Legal Tourists from the Empire in Lord Mansfield’s Court: Phineas Bond, Henry Marchant and New Light on *Somerset v. Stewart*’

**Thomas Mohr** (Dublin, Ireland): ‘Hugh Kennedy and the search for a new Irish Legal System’

**Leslie Moran** (London): ‘Writing the lives of the English Judiciary: a history and critical analysis’

**Ulrike Muessig** (Passau, Germany): ‘Superior Courts in Early Modern France, England and the Holy Roman Empire’

**Nicola Murphy** (Galway, Ireland): ‘“Ireland, that Alsatia for Judicial Extravaganzas”: The Master of the Rolls v. The Attorney General’

**Anthony Musson** (Exeter): ‘Judging the Judges: Late Medieval Judicial Images’

**Michael Nash** (Glion, Switzerland): ‘The removal of judges under the act of settlement, 1701’

**Ruth Paley** (London): ‘A matter of judgment: politics, law and the trial of bishop Thomas Watson’

**Stefan Petrow** (Tasmania, Australia): ‘Moving in ‘an eccentric orbit’? Judge Algernon Sidney Montagu in Van Diemen’s Land 1833-47’

**Rebecca Probert** (Warwick): ‘Sir William Scott and the law of marriage’

**Jonathan Rose** (Tempe, AZ, USA): ‘The Law of Maintenance: the Judicial Development of the Law’

**Michael Salter** (Lancashire): ‘Injudicious selection of judges for war trials: the Nuremberg dilemma’

**David Seipp** (Boston, USA): ‘Formalism and Realism in Fifteenth-Century English Law: Bodies Corporate and Natural’

**Charlotte Smith** (Reading): ‘The Judicial Committee of the Privy Council as an Ecclesiastical Court: Debates about its work and composition’

**Maureen Spencer** (Middlesex): ‘Judicial Independence at a time of crisis: the experience of the National Industrial Relations Court 1971-5’

**Carla Spivack** (Oklahoma City, USA): ‘Mary Carlton, Returning Exiles and the Role of the Courts in Restoration London’

**Chantal Stebbings** (Exeter): ‘Bureaucratic Adjudication: the Internal Appeals of the Inland Revenue’

**Michael Stein** (Harvard, USA): ‘Victorian Tort liability for workplace injuries: the Judges v. the wounded soldiers of industry’

**Warren Swain** (Durham): ‘Lord Mansfield and Lord Denning: Legal History and the Dangers of Caricature’

**Michael Taggart** (Auckland, NZ): ‘*Constantine v. Imperial Hotel* in context’

**Joshua Tate** (Dallas, Texas, USA): ‘The Third Lateran Council and the *Ius Patronatus* in England’

**Olga Tellegen-Couperus** (Tilburg, Netherlands): ‘The limits of *culpa levissima*’

**C.H. Van Rhee** (Maastricht, Netherlands): ‘The power of the judge in civil litigation: England, Austria, France and the Netherlands compared’

**Chris Waldrep** (San Francisco, USA): ‘Judges and Judging in the American South: Challenging the All-White Jury system in Mississippi, 1900-10’

**Martin Wiener** (Houston Texas, USA): ‘Some difficulties of colonial judging: the Bahamas 1886-93’

**David Williams** (Auckland, NZ): ‘Judges and Judging in Colonial New Zealand, 1846-1912’

**Ian Williams** (Cambridge): ‘Early Modern Judges and the Practice of Precedent’